

Statistical Inference

Yuki Shiraito

POLSCI 599 Statistical Methods in Political Research I
University of Michigan

Statistical Inference: Overview

- Statistical model:
 - ① Assumption about the world, F_X
 - ② Data, (X_1, \dots, X_n) , form a random sample from F_X
- *Estimand*, what we want to know about F_X :
 - ① Population moments, e.g., $\mathbb{E}[X]$, $\mathbb{V}(X)$
 - ② Parameters of distribution, θ if F_X is written as $F_X(x; \theta)$
- *Estimation*:
 - Define an **estimator** or **statistic**, $T_n = r(X_1, \dots, X_n)$
- **Sampling distribution**:
 - *Theoretical* distribution of T_n **across samples**
 - Only one realization of T_n in one sample
 - Theoretical because it depends on F_X (and θ)
- Exact inference:
 - Given sample size n
 - Sampling distribution of T_n derived from F_X
- Approximate inference:
 - Asymptotics: Convergence as $n \rightarrow \infty$
 - Sampling distribution of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n$ approximated via LLN and CLT

Method of Moments Estimator

- **Method of moments estimator:** Let θ be a vector of k estimands and suppose that the k th moment of F_X is written as a function of θ , $\mathbb{E}[X^k] = \eta_k(\theta)$. The *method of moments (MM) estimator* $\hat{\theta}_{MM}$ is the solution for θ to the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_1(\theta) &= M_1, \\ &\vdots \\ \eta_k(\theta) &= M_k.\end{aligned}$$

- MM estimator of the population mean μ and variance σ^2 :
 - ① $\hat{\mu}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$
 - ② $\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \right)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(X_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \right)^2$
- Intuitive: Replace population moments with sample moments
- Simple: Not necessarily require assumptions on distribution F_X
- What if more equations than estimands?
 - E.g., Poisson distribution: $\lambda = \mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{V}(X)$
 - Incorporate p.(d).f. into estimator \rightsquigarrow MLE
 - Finding the "best" value \rightsquigarrow GMM

Exact Inference on MM Estimator

- We know the mean and variance of $\hat{\mu}_n$:
 - $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_n] = \mu, \mathbb{V}(\hat{\mu}_n) = \sigma^2/n$
 - For any n , without any parametric assumptions

- $\hat{\mu}_n$ is **unbiased**: $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mu}_n] = \mu$

- Is $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ unbiased?

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 = \hat{\sigma}_n^2 + (\hat{\mu}_n - \mu)^2 \Leftrightarrow \sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\sigma}_n^2] + \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

- $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ is biased: $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\sigma}_n^2] = \frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^2$

- **Unbiased variance**:

- $s_n^2 \equiv \frac{n}{n-1}\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \hat{\mu}_n)^2$
- $\mathbb{E}[s_n^2] = \frac{n}{n-1}\mathbb{E}[\hat{\sigma}_n^2] = \sigma^2$
- Again for any n , without any parametric assumptions

- Can we find the sampling distribution of $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ or s_n^2 ?

Exact Sampling Distribution of MM Estimator

- We need parametric assumptions for further exact inference:
 - Well known if F_X is Gaussian \rightsquigarrow t -statistic
 - F_X is Bernoulli
- $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$
- **Sampling distribution of sample/unbiased variance:**

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \hat{\mu}_n)^2 = \frac{n-1}{\sigma^2} s_n^2 = \frac{n}{\sigma^2} \widehat{\sigma}_n^2 \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$$

- χ_{n-1}^2 is the *Chi-squared distribution with degrees of freedom $n-1$*
- $\chi_{n-1}^2 = \text{Gamma}(\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$
- Sum of the squares of $n-1$ independent Gaussian r.v.s

Proof. Assume $\mu = 0$ since $X_i + \mu - (\hat{\mu}_n + \mu)$ for any μ

- 1 $\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \hat{\mu}_n)^2 + \frac{n}{\sigma^2} \hat{\mu}_n^2$
- 2 If $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, $\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \hat{\mu}_n)^2$ and $\hat{\mu}_n^2$ are independent
- 3 We know $\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \sim \chi_n^2$ and $\frac{n}{\sigma^2} \hat{\mu}_n^2 \sim \chi_1^2$
- 4 We use the m.g.f.s of these to get the m.g.f. of $\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \hat{\mu}_n)^2$

t -statistic

- Now we know the sampling distributions of $\hat{\mu}_n$ and $\frac{n-1}{\sigma^2} s_n^2$
- Problem: Two unknown parameters, μ and σ^2
- **t -statistic**: For a fixed number θ , the t -statistic is defined as

$$\mathcal{T}_n(\theta) \equiv \frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_n - \theta)}{\sqrt{s_n^2}}$$

- **Student's t -distribution**: Let $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and $V \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$. Then, $\frac{Z}{\sqrt{V/(n-1)}}$ follows the t -distribution with $n - 1$ degrees of freedom.
- If $\theta = \mu$, $\mathcal{T}_n(\theta)$ follows Student's t -distribution:

$$\frac{\overbrace{\sqrt{\frac{n}{\sigma^2}}(\hat{\mu}_n - \mu)}^{\sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)}}{\left(\underbrace{\frac{n-1}{\sigma^2} s_n^2 / (n-1)}_{\sim \chi_{n-1}^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \mathcal{T}_n(\mu)$$

Hypothesis Testing: The t -test

- Assuming $\theta = \mu$, we know how likely a value of $\mathcal{T}_n(\theta)$ is
- **Hypothesis Testing:**
 - 1 Have a hypothesis that $\mu = \theta_0$ (*null hypothesis*)
 - 2 Compute $\mathcal{T}_n(\theta_0)$
 - 3 Is the value of $\mathcal{T}_n(\theta_0)$ consistent with the null?
- What "consistent" means:
 - The value of $\mathcal{T}_n(\theta_0)$ is "not unlikely" under the null
 - The sampling distribution \rightsquigarrow how likely a value is
 - Range from the $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ quantile to the $1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$ quantile is not unlikely
- Testing procedure:
 - Reject (accept) the null if $\mathcal{T}_n(\theta_0) \notin (\in) [t_{n-1, \frac{\alpha}{2}}^*, t_{n-1, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^*]$
 - $t_{n-1, \delta}^*$: the δ quantile of the t -distribution
- $\mathcal{T}_n(\theta)$ is an r.v. \rightsquigarrow **error is always possible**
 - Type I error (false positive): Reject the null when $\mu = \theta_0$
 - Type II error (false negative): Accept the null when $\mu \neq \theta_0$
- Probability of Type I error across samples is α
- **Multiple testing problem:**
 - If you run testing many times, you reject the null in some tests

Interval Estimation

- We know $\hat{\mu}_n$ (estimator) is not exactly equal to μ (estimand)
- Instead of one value, use an interval to account for randomness
- **Interval estimation:**
 - Get the inverse of the acceptance region of the t -test

$$\mathcal{T}_n(\theta_0) \leq t_{n-1, \delta}^* \Leftrightarrow \theta_0 \geq \hat{\mu}_n - \frac{\sqrt{s_n^2}}{\sqrt{n}} t_{n-1, \delta}^* \Leftrightarrow \theta_0 \leq \hat{\mu}_n + \frac{\sqrt{s_n^2}}{\sqrt{n}} t_{n-1, 1-\delta}^*$$

- $\left[\hat{\mu}_n + \frac{\sqrt{s_n^2}}{\sqrt{n}} t_{n-1, \frac{\alpha}{2}}^*, \hat{\mu}_n + \frac{\sqrt{s_n^2}}{\sqrt{n}} t_{n-1, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^* \right]$ is the **confidence interval**
- *Confidence intervals are random intervals*
 - Bounds have sampling distributions
 - Intervals vary across samples
 - $\mathbb{P} \left(\hat{\mu}_n + \frac{\sqrt{s_n^2}}{\sqrt{n}} t_{n-1, \frac{\alpha}{2}}^* \leq \mu \leq \hat{\mu}_n + \frac{\sqrt{s_n^2}}{\sqrt{n}} t_{n-1, 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^* \right) = 1 - \alpha$
 - Correct: Across samples, the C.I.s cover μ with probability $1 - \alpha$
 - Wrong: A given C.I. contains μ with probability $1 - \alpha$

Approximate Inference

- In exact inference, we need to assume data distribution F_X
- We may not know a reasonable parametric assumption on F_X
- Derived sampling distribution may not be in a well known family
- **Asymptotic inference**: Sampling distributions are approximated by the limit as sample size n approaches ∞
- What is the limit of r.v.s?
- Limit of a sequence: Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real numbers. The limit of sequence x_n , written by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n \rightarrow x$, is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x \stackrel{\text{def.}}{\iff} \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \text{ s.t. } |x_n - x| < \varepsilon \text{ for } n > N$$

- **Convergence in probability**: Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of r.v.s. X_n converges in probability to an r.v. X if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| \geq \varepsilon) = 0.$$

We write $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ or $\text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = X$

- Recall that X_n is random but $\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| \geq \varepsilon)$ is not
- Consider $\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| \geq \varepsilon)$ as a sequence, and its limit is 0
- X can be a constant

Law of Large Numbers

- Does estimator T_n approach estimand θ as n approaches ∞ ?
- **Consistency:** T_n is a *consistent estimator* of θ if $T_n \xrightarrow{P} \theta$
 - As n increases, probability that T_n is away from θ vanishes
 - Consistency neither implies or is implied by unbiasedness
- **Weak law of large numbers (LLN):** Let X_1, \dots, X_n form a random sample from F_X with a finite second moment. Then,

$$\bar{X}_n \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{E}[X]$$

- Powerful tool to establish consistency of an estimator
- $\hat{\mu}_n$ is a consistent estimator of μ
- **Continuous mapping theorem:** Let g be a continuous function. Then, $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ implies that $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{P} g(X)$
 - If F_X has a finite fourth moment, $\widehat{\sigma}_n^2$ is a consistent estimator of σ^2

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{E}[X^2], \quad \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right)^2 \xrightarrow{P} (\mathbb{E}[X])^2$$

Proof of LLN

- **Markov inequality:** For any r.v. X and constant $a > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|X| \geq a) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[|X|]}{a}$$

Proof.

- 1 $1_{\{|X|/a \geq 1\}} \leq |X|/a$
 - 2 $\mathbb{E}[1_{\{|X|/a \geq 1\}}] \leq \mathbb{E}[|X|]/a$
- $\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\mathbb{P}(|X| \geq a)}$

- **Chebychev inequality:** If X have finite variance, for any $a > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq a) \leq \frac{\mathbb{V}(X)}{a^2}$$

Proof.

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq a) = \mathbb{P}\left((X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2 \geq a^2\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])^2\right]}{a^2}$$

- Proof of LLN: By Chebychev,

$$\mathbb{P}(|\bar{X}_n - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\mathbb{V}(\bar{X}_n)}{\varepsilon^2} = \frac{\mathbb{V}(X)}{n\varepsilon^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Central Limit Theorem

- Consistency is not about the distribution of T_n
- Sampling distribution at the limit: *Asymptotic distribution*
- **Convergence in distribution**: A sequence of r.v.s, $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in distribution to r.v. X if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_n}(x) = F_X(x)$$

at all points x where $F_X(x)$ is continuous. We write $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$

- **Central limit theorem (CLT)**: Let X_1, \dots, X_n form a random sample from F_X with m.g.f. $M_X(t)$. Then,

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mathbb{E}[X])}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X)}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

- Whatever F_X is, \bar{X}_n follows the Gaussian!
- Powerful tool to establish *asymptotic normality* of estimators
- $\hat{\mu}_n$ is asymptotically Normal \rightsquigarrow tests and C.I.s with large n
- **Slutzky's theorem**: If $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ and $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} c$ for constant c . Then,

$$X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + c, \quad X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{d} cX$$

Proof of CLT

- Proof here assumes that F_X has its m.g.f.
- CLT holds under much weaker conditions (c.f. **DS 6.3**)
- **Proof.**

- 1 WLOG, $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ and $\mathbb{V}(X) = 1 \Rightarrow M'_X(0) = 0$ and $M''_X(0) = 1$
- 2 The m.g.f. of $\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i/\sqrt{n}$ is

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{t \sum_{i=1}^n X_i/\sqrt{n}}] = M_X \left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} \right)^n$$

- 3 Its limit is the indeterminate form
- 4 Take the limit of the log and exponentiate

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \log M_X \left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} \right) &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log M_X(yt)}{y^2} = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{tM'_X(yt)}{2yM_X(yt)} = \frac{t}{2} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{M'_X(yt)}{y} \\ &= \frac{t^2}{2} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} M''(yt) = \frac{t^2}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Second and fourth equalities hold by L'Hôpital's rule

- 5 $e^{t^2/2}$ is the standard Gaussian's m.g.f.

Asymptotic Tests and C.I.s

- CLT + Slutsky \rightsquigarrow asymptotic distribution of a test statistic
- **Z-test:** Under the null hypothesis that $\mathbb{E}[X] = \theta_0$,

$$Z_n(\theta_0) \equiv \frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_n - \theta_0)}{\sqrt{s_n^2}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

because $s_n^2 \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{V}(X)$

- Reject (accept) the null if $Z_n(\theta_0) \notin (\in) (z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^*, z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^*)$
- z_{δ}^* : δ quantile of the standard Gaussian distribution
- **Asymptotic confidence intervals:**

$$z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^* \leq Z_n(\theta_0) \leq z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^* \Leftrightarrow \hat{\mu}_n + \frac{\sqrt{s_n^2}}{\sqrt{n}} z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^* \leq \theta_0 \leq \hat{\mu}_n + \frac{\sqrt{s_n^2}}{\sqrt{n}} z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^*$$

- Asymptotics are useful: Binary, discrete, skewed, etc.
- Asymptotics are not always correct
 - Probability of Type I error is not exactly α
 - Probability that C.I.s cover μ is not exactly $1 - \alpha$
 - Sample size is always finite:
 - 1 Consistent estimator can be biased
 - 2 Asymptotic approximation can be poor

The Delta Method

- Recall the Nigeria survey example:
 - X_i : True answer, 1 if contact and 0 otherwise
 - W_i : Dice roll, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6
 - Y_i : Observed response, 1 if yes and 0 if no
- Estimand is $\mathbb{E}[X_i]$, true probability of contact with armed groups
- We only observe Y_i . Can we estimate $\mathbb{E}[X_i]$?
- $\mathbb{E}[Y_i] = \mathbb{P}(W_i = 6) + \mathbb{P}(W_i \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\})\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 1/6 + 2\mathbb{E}[X_i]/3$
- Consistent estimator of $\mathbb{E}[Y_i]$: $\bar{Y}_n \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{E}[Y_i]$ by LLN
- Use the continuous mapping theorem!

$$\hat{\mu}_X \equiv \frac{3}{2} \left(\bar{Y}_n - \frac{1}{6} \right) \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{E}[X_i]$$

- The Delta Method:** For a differentiable function g s.t. $g'(\mu) \neq 0$,

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\mu}_n) - g(\mu))}{|g'(\mu)|\sqrt{\mathbb{V}(X)}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

Proof uses Taylor approximation

- You can derive the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\mu}_X$

Maximum Likelihood Estimator

- For some data sets, you want to make parametric assumptions
 - E.g., Binary indicator for Dem support \rightsquigarrow Bernoulli
- For Bernoulli r.v.s, we know:
 - 1 $\mathbb{E}[X] = p$
 - 2 $\mathbb{V}(X) = p(1 - p)$
- We only need to estimate p , parameter of the distribution
- **Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE):** For a random sample $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} f_X(x; \theta)$, the *maximum likelihood estimator* of θ is given by

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} \equiv \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} L_n(\theta) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \prod_{i=1}^n f_X(X_i; \theta)$$

- **Log-likelihood:**

$$\ell_n(\theta) \equiv \log \prod_{i=1}^n f_X(X_i; \theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f_X(X_i; \theta)$$

- Log is monotone \rightsquigarrow MLE maximizes the log-likelihood, too
- Differentiation is much easier as product becomes summation

Consistency and Invariance of MLE

- **MLE is consistent:** Under “regularity conditions,” $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} \xrightarrow{P} \theta$
- **MLE is invariant:** If g is a one-to-one function,
 - 1 $g(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}})$ is the MLE of $g(\theta)$
 - 2 Hence, $g(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}) \xrightarrow{P} g(\theta)$
- **Overdispersion:**
 - $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Bern}(p)$
 - $\hat{p}_{\text{MLE}} = \text{argmax}_p \sum_{i=1}^n \{X_i \log p + (1 - X_i) \log(1 - p)\} = \bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{P} p$
 - $\widehat{\text{V}}(X)_{\text{MLE}} = \bar{X}_n(1 - \bar{X}_n) \xrightarrow{P} \text{V}(X) = p(1 - p)$
 - $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} \text{Pois}(\lambda)$
 - $\hat{\lambda}_{\text{MLE}} = \text{argmax}_\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \{X_i \log \lambda - \lambda\} = \bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{P} \lambda$
 - $\widehat{\text{V}}(X)_{\text{MLE}} = \bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{P} \text{V}(X) = \lambda$
 - $\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 \xrightarrow{P} \text{V}(X)$ under no parametric assumptions
 - $\hat{\sigma}_n^2 \gg \widehat{\text{V}}(X)_{\text{MLE}}$ suggests parametric assumption is inappropriate

Fisher Information

- MLE is asymptotically normal:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1})$$

where $\mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}$ is the Fisher information

- Score:** $s_n(\theta) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ell_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_X(X_i; \theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_X(X_i; \theta)}{f_X(X_i; \theta)}$
- Expected score for each i is zero:

$$\mathbb{E}[s_i(\theta)] = \int \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_X(x_i; \theta)}{f_X(x_i; \theta)} f_X(x_i; \theta) dx_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \underbrace{\int f_X(x_i; \theta) dx_i}_{=1} = 0$$

- Fisher information:** $\mathcal{I}(\theta) \equiv \mathbb{E}[s_i(\theta)s_i(\theta)^\top] = \mathbb{V}(s_i(\theta))$
- Information equality:** For Hessian $\mathbf{H}_i(\theta) \equiv \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^\top} \log f_X(X_i; \theta)$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{H}_i(\theta)] = -\mathbb{E}[s_i(\theta)s_i(\theta)^\top] + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\top} \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int f_X(x_i; \theta) dx_i}_{=0} = -\mathcal{I}(\theta)$$

Asymptotic Normality of MLE

- Score function evaluated at MLE is zero: $s_n(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}) = 0$
- Taylor expansion of $s_n(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}})$ around θ :

$$0 = s_n(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}) \approx s_n(\theta) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{H}_i(\theta) \right) (\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} - \theta)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} - \theta) &\approx - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{H}_i(\theta) \right)^{-1} \sqrt{n}s_n(\theta) \\ &= \underbrace{\left(-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{H}_i(\theta) \right)^{-1}}_{\xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{I}(\theta)} \underbrace{\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(\theta) \right)}_{\xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \mathcal{I}(\theta))} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

- Estimated asymptotic variance of MLE:

$$\mathbb{V}(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}) \approx \frac{1}{n} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[-\mathbf{H}_i(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}) \right] \right)^{-1} \approx \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \left[s_i(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}) s_i(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}})^{\top} \right]$$

- Hypothesis tests and C.I.s: Replace $\sqrt{s_n^2}$ with $\text{se}(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}})$

Asymptotic Efficiency of MLE

- **Cramér-Rao Lower Bound** (univariate): Let X_1, \dots, X_n form a random sample from $f_X(x; \theta)$ and T_n be an estimator of θ . Then,

$$\mathbb{V}(T_n) \geq \frac{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}[T_n]\right)^2}{n\mathcal{I}(\theta)}$$

Proof.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}[T_n] = \mathbb{E} \left[T_n \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \log f_X(X_i; \theta) \right] = \text{Cov}(T_n, s_n(\theta))$$

- **Cauchy-Schwarz inequality**: For r.v.s X and Y with finite variance, $\text{Cov}(X, Y)^2 \leq \mathbb{V}(X)\mathbb{V}(Y)$
- Implication of Cauchy-Schwarz: $\text{Cov}(T_n, s_n(\theta))^2 \leq \mathbb{V}(T_n) \underbrace{\mathbb{V}(s_n(\theta))}_{n\mathcal{I}(\theta)}$
- **MLE is asymptotically efficient**: MLE achieves CRLB as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- MLE has the minimum asymptotic variance