# Potential Outcomes and Causal Estimands

#### Yuki Shiraito

POLSCI 699 Statistical Methods in Political Research II University of Michigan

#### Counterfactuals

- "Correlation does not imply causation"-but what is causation?
- One (not the only one) conceptualization of causation: counterfactuals
  - "What would have happened if..."
  - "What would have been the US presidential election outcome if the Democratic Party had nominated Bernie Sanders instead of Hillary Clinton?"
  - "What would have been Saudi Arabia's political regime if oil had not existed?"
  - "What would have happend to the Russo-Ukranian conflict in 2022 if Ukraine had joined NATO?"
- Specific to:
  - 1 unit
  - 2 counterfactual scenario
- Fundamental problem of causal inference
  - Impossible to observe the outcome that did not happen

# Formalization: Potential Outcomes

- Voter turnout with/without a get-out-the-vote (GOTV) message
- Units (= voters): *i* = 1, ..., *n*
- "Treatment":  $T_i = 1$  if treated,  $T_i = 0$  otherwise
- Observed outcome: Y<sub>i</sub>
- Pre-treatment covariates: X<sub>i</sub>
- Potential outcomes:  $Y_i(1)$  and  $Y_i(0)$  where  $Y_i = Y_i(T_i)$

| - | Voters | Contact | Turnout    |            | Age           | Party ID       |
|---|--------|---------|------------|------------|---------------|----------------|
|   | i      | Ti      | $Y_{i}(1)$ | $Y_{i}(0)$ | $\tilde{X_i}$ | X <sub>i</sub> |
|   | 1      | 1       | 1          | ?          | 20            | D              |
|   | 2      | 0       | ?          | 0          | 55            | R              |
|   | 3      | 0       | ?          | 1          | 40            | R              |
|   | ÷      | :       | :          | ÷          | ÷             | ÷              |
|   | n      | 1       | 0          | ?          | 62            | D              |

• (Individual) Causal effect:  $Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)$ 

Yuki Shiraito

### **Key Assumptions**

- The notation  $Y_i(t)$  implies three assumptions:
  - **1** No simultaneity (different from endogeneity):  $T_i = T_i(Y_i)$  for any  $Y_i$
  - **2** No interference between units:  $Y_i(T_1, T_2, ..., T_n) = Y_i(T_i)$
  - Same version of the treatment:  $Y_i(T_i) = Y_i(t)$  whenever  $T_i = t$
- Stable Unit Treatment Value Assumption (SUTVA)
- Examples of SUTVA violations:
  - feedback effects
  - spill-over effects, carry-over effects
  - I different treatment administration
- Multi-valued treatment: more potential outcomes for each unit
- Randomness in statistical causal inference
  - Potential outcome for each unit (*Y<sub>i</sub>*(0), *Y<sub>i</sub>*(1)) is "fixed"; data cannot distinguish fixed and random potential outcomes
  - Observed outcome for each unit  $Y_i = Y_i(T_i)$  is random because the treatment is random
  - Potential outcomes across units have a joint distribution of (Y<sub>i</sub>(0), Y<sub>i</sub>(1)): randomness from sampling

## Manipulation of the Treatment

- "No causation without manipulation" (Holland, 1986)
- Medical trials: technically feasible to manipulate dosage, vaccination, etc.
- Social science: infeasible to manipulate immutable characteristics such as gender, race, age, etc.
- What does the causal effect of gender mean?
- Causal effect of having a female politician on policy outcomes (Chattopadhyay and Duflo, 2004 *QJE*)
- Causal effect of having a discussion leader with certain preferences on deliberation outcomes (Humphreys *et al.* 2006 *WP*)
- Causal effect of a job applicant's gender/race on call-back rates (Bertrand and Mullainathan, 2004 *AER*)
- Problem: confounding

### Common Causal Estimands

- Individual effects are never observed ~→ average effects of the common treatment across units
- Sample Average Treatment Effect:

SATE 
$$\equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)\}$$

- Sample average of individual causal effects
- Still unobservable due to missing data of potential outcomes
- Population Average Treatment Effect:

$$\mathsf{PATE} \equiv \mathbb{E}\big[Y_i(1) - Y_i(0)\big]$$

- Population average of individual causal effects
- Unobservable due to both missingness and sampling
- Population Average Treatment Effect for the Treated:

$$\mathsf{PATT} \equiv \mathbb{E}\big[Y_i(1) - Y_i(0) \mid T_i = 1\big]$$

- Conditional population average given treated
- Often used policy evaluation

Assumptions

#### Problems

• Causal identification-how to unbiasedly or consistently estimate:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i(t) \text{ for } i \text{ s.t. } T_i \neq t$$
$$\mathbb{E}[Y_i(t)] \text{ for all } t$$
$$\mathbb{E}[Y_i(0) \mid T_i = 1]$$

- Equivalent to predicting missing outcome data
- Finding identifiable estimand (e.g., principal effects)
- Treatment effect heterogeneity: Y<sub>i</sub>(1) - Y<sub>i</sub>(0) = 0 ∀i ⇒ ATE = 0 ATE = 0 ⇒ Y<sub>i</sub>(1) - Y<sub>i</sub>(0) = 0 ∀i
  Conditional average treatment effect: E[Y<sub>i</sub>(1) - Y<sub>i</sub>(0) | X] = E[Y<sub>i</sub>(1) | X] - E[Y<sub>i</sub>(0) | X]